

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/604,011	. 06/20/2003	Stephen E. Greco	FIS920030144US1	1010	
23550	7590 06/28/2005		EXAMINER		
HOFFMAN	WARNICK & D'ALESS	CHACKO DAVIS, DABORAH			
3 E-COMM ALBANY, N		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
ALDANI, I	1 12207		1756		
			DATE MAILED: 06/28/200	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			J					
		Applic	ation No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/604	,011	GRECO, STEPHEN	E.			
		Exami	ner	Art Unit				
			h Chacko-Davis	1756				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN nsions of time may be available under the provision SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com period for reply specified above is less than thirty (period for reply is specified above, the maximum so tre to reply within the set or extended period for repl reply received by the Office later than three months ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no munication. 30) days, a reply within the tatutory period will apply an y will, by statute, cause the	event, however, may a reply statutory minimum of thirty (3 d will expire SIX (6) MONTHS application to become ABANI	be timely filed O) days will be considered timely. Forom the mailing date of this common control (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.			
Status								
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) fil	ed on <u>20 June 200</u> 3	<u>3</u> .					
·	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restrict	are withdrawn from						
Applicat	ion Papers							
10)	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on is/are Applicant may not request that any objected the Carlo of t	e: a) accepted or ection to the drawing(s g the correction is req	s) be held in abeyance. uired if the drawing(s)	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR	• •			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) Notice 3) Information	t(s) se of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 o er No(s)/Mail Date <u>06/03</u> .			mary (PTO-413) ail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-15	i2)			

Art Unit: 1756

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-4, 6-30, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.
- S. Patent No. 6,498,385 (Daubenspeck et al, hereinafter referred to as Daubenspeck).

Daubenspeck, in col 7, lines 16-67, in col 8, lines 1-67, in col 10, lines 23-50, discloses a method of opening an integrated circuit (deleting the fuse in the circuit device) comprising lithographically patterning the resist layer (exposing, and developing the exposed resist) formed on the integrated circuit structure (IC chip) to form an opening in the resist, etching the underlying exposed barrier layer on the fuse element, the fuse is coupled to a plurality of terminals and is the (non-last metal layer (LM-1 layer), etching the exposed fuse (exposed through the patterned resist) by wet etching using acid and water, stripping the remaining resist (claims 1-4, 6, and 11-12, 19, 23, and 26). Daubenspeck, in col 10, lines 53-67, in col 11, lines 1-22, discloses that the opening in the structure includes an opening to each side of the terminal (copper) (see figure 1G), wet etching the fuse elements leaves behind the metal liner segments of the terminal intact, but removes the fuse (copper segments, therefore removing the contact

Application/Control Number: 10/604,011

Art Unit: 1756

of the liner) (see figures 7A through 7D) (claims 7-8, 10, 14, 16, 21, and 30)

Daubenspeck, in col 10, lines 24-67, discloses that the fuse includes a wire and the terminals are positioned (fully-landed) on the fuse wire (copper) (see figure 1G), wherein each terminal includes a Ta or TaN liner, each terminal comprises a horizontal wire and a vertical stud (see figure 1G, reference 114a) said fuse wire couples the vertical studs, and the horizontal wire couples the fuse to the terminals (claims 9, 13, 15, 17-18, 20, 22, 24-25, and 27-29).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U. S. Patent No. 6,498,385 (Daubenspeck et al., hereinafter referred to as Daubenspeck) in view of U. S. Patent No. 5,953,577 (Huggins).

Daubenspeck is discussed in paragraph no. 2.

The difference between the claims and Daubenspeck is that Daubenspeck does not disclose that the opening is formed by applying a polymer and ablating the polymer with a laser to define the at least one opening (claim 5).

Huggins, in col 7, lines 25-40, discloses the use of laser ablation methods to form an opening in the polymer formed on the structure.

Application/Control Number: 10/604,011 Page 4

Art Unit: 1756

Therefore, it would be obvious to a skilled artisan to modify Daubenspeck by employing the laser ablation techniques suggested by Huggins because Huggins, in col 7, lines 25-34, discloses that such techniques enables the use of non-precision masks during exposure, and enables positioning of the mask at desired and selected portions of the resist polymer for removal by laser ablation.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daborah Chacko-Davis whose telephone number is (571) 272-1380. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:30 - 6:00. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark F Huff can be reached on (571) 272-1385. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

dcd June 23, 2005.

> JOHN A. MCPHERSON PRIMARY EXAMINER